

Modern Algebra II: Lecture Notes

© 2003 - Ken Monks

by Ken Monks

Department of Mathematics

University of Scranton

Revised: Spring 2003

This is **not** a complete set of lecture notes for Math 449, Modern Algebra II. Additional material will be covered in class and discussed in the textbook.

Fun Facts

Section 8.1 - Direct Products

Definition Let G_1, \dots, G_k be groups and $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_k$. Then G is said to be the **direct product** of G_1, \dots, G_k . If the groups are abelian then we call G the **direct sum** of the groups. In this case we write $G = G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_k$.

Lemma If $M \triangleleft G$ and $N \triangleleft G$ and $M \cap N = \{e\}$ then $\forall a \in M, \forall b \in N, ab = ba$.

Theorem Let N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k be normal subgroups of a group G such that every $a \in G$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$ where $a_i \in N_i$ for all i (i.e. if

$$a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_k = b_1 b_2 \dots b_k$$

where $\forall i \in \mathbb{I}_k, a_i \in N_i$ and $b_i \in N_i$ then $\forall i \in \mathbb{I}_k, a_i = b_i$). Then

$$G \cong N_1 \times \dots \times N_k$$

Theorem If $M \triangleleft G$ and $N \triangleleft G$ and $G = MN$ and $M \cap N = \langle e \rangle$ then

$$G \cong M \times N$$

Section 8.2 - Classification of Finite Abelian Groups

Definition Let G be an abelian group and p a positive prime integer. Then

$$G(p) = \{a \in G : \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, |a| = p^n\}$$

Remark $G(p)$ is a group. Closure: $a^{p^n} = e$ and $b^{p^m} = e$ implies $(ab)^{p^{m+n}} = e$.
Inverses: $|a| = |a^{-1}|$

Theorem If G is a finite abelian group then

$$G \cong G(p_1) \oplus G(p_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus G(p_t)$$

where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t are the distinct positive primes that divide the order of G .

p-groups

Definition Let p be a positive prime and G a group.

$$G \text{ is a } p\text{-group} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in G, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, |x| = p^n$$

i.e. a p -group is a group such that the order of all of its elements is a power of p .

Definition Let G be a p -group and $a \in G$. Then

$$a \text{ is an element of maximal order in } G \Leftrightarrow \forall b \in G, |b| \leq |a|.$$

Lemma Let G be a finite abelian p -group and $a \in G$ an element of maximal order. Then

$$\exists K \triangleleft G, G \cong \langle a \rangle \oplus K$$

Remark Note: In the proof K is the largest subgroup of G such that $K \cap \langle a \rangle = \langle e \rangle$.

The Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups

Theorem (Fund Thm of Finite Abelian Groups I) Every finite abelian group is a direct sum of cyclic groups, each of prime power order.

Theorem Let $m, k \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$ and $\gcd(m, k) = 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{Z}_{mk} \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_k$$

Corollary If $n = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$ with p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t distinct primes then

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}}$$

Definition Let G be a finite abelian group, and

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}}$$

with $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_t$ positive primes and $n_i \leq n_{i+1}$ whenever $p_i = p_{i+1}$. Then the sequence

$$p_1^{n_1}, p_2^{n_2}, \dots, p_t^{n_t}$$

is called the **sequence of elementary divisors** of G .

Theorem (Fund Thm of Abelian Groups II) Let G, H be finite abelian groups. Then $G \cong H \Leftrightarrow G$ and H have the same sequence of elementary divisors.

Invariant Factors

Theorem Every finite abelian group is the direct sum of cyclic groups of orders m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t such that $m_1 | m_2, m_2 | m_3, \dots, m_{t-1} | m_t$.

Definition The numbers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t in the previous theorem are called the *invariant factors* of G .

Section 8.3 - Classification of Finite Non-Abelian Groups

The Sylow Theorems

Remark From now on, when ever we say p is a prime, we will mean p is a positive prime integer unless stated otherwise.

Remark Recall that Lagrange's theorem says that the order of a subgroup must divide the order of the group. What about the converse?

Sylow I

Theorem (Sylow I) Let G be a finite group, p a prime, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $p^k \mid |G|$ then G has a subgroup of order p^k .

Corollary (Cauchy's Thm) If p is a prime and $p \mid |G|$ then G has an element of order p .

Definition Let G be a finite group and p a prime. If p^n is the largest power of p that divides $|G|$ then a subgroup of order p^n is called a *Sylow p -subgroup*.

Notation We write $H \sqsubseteq_p G$ if and only if H is a Sylow p -subgroup of G . We write $\#_p(G)$ to denote the number of Sylow p -subgroups of G .

Sylow II

Definition Let G be a group and $x \in G$. Let $f_x : G \rightarrow G$ by $\forall a \in G, f_x(a) = x^{-1}ax$. Then f_x is called the *inner automorphism of G induced by x* .

Theorem Let G be a group and $x \in G$. Then f_x is an isomorphism.

Corollary Let G be a group, $x \in G$, and $K \subseteq G$. Then $f_x(K) \cong K$. i.e.

$$\forall x \in G, x^{-1}Kx \cong K$$

Theorem (Sylow II) Let G be a finite group, p a prime.

$$P \subseteq_p G \text{ and } K \subseteq_p G \Rightarrow \exists x \in G, P = x^{-1}Kx$$

i.e. any two Sylow p -subgroups of G are conjugate.

Corollary Any two Sylow p -subgroups of G are isomorphic.

Corollary Let G be a finite group, p a prime, and $K \subseteq_p G$.

$$K \triangleleft G \Leftrightarrow \#_p(G) = 1$$

i.e. a Sylow p -subgroup is normal if and only if it is the only Sylow p -subgroup.

Sylow III

Theorem (Sylow III) Let G be a finite group and p a prime. Then

$$\#_p(G) \mid |G|$$

and

$$\#_p(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

CLASSIFY!

Theorem Let G be a finite group, p, q primes, and $|G| = pq$. If $q < p$ and $q \nmid p-1$ then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$$

Section 8.4 - Proof of the Sylow Theorems

Preliminary Definitions

Definition Let G be a group and $a, b \in G$. We say b is **conjugate** to a if and only if $b = x^{-1}ax$ for some $x \in G$. In this case we write $b \sim_G a$.

Theorem \sim_G is an equivalence relation on G .

Definition Let G be a group and $a \in G$. The **centralizer** of a is

$$C(a) = \{x \in G : xa = ax\}$$

i.e. $C(a)$ is the set of all elements of G that commute with a .

Theorem Let G be a group and $a \in G$. Then $C(a)$ is a subgroup of G .

Definition Let G be a group and $H, K \subseteq G$. We say H is **conjugate** to K if and only if $H = x^{-1}Kx$ for some $x \in G$. In this case we write $H \sim_G K$.

Theorem \sim_G is an equivalence relation on the set of subgroups of G .

Definition Let G be a group and $H \subseteq G$. The **normalizer** of H is

$$N(H) = \{g \in G : Hg = gH\}$$

i.e. $N(H)$ is the set of all elements of G that commute with H .

Theorem Let G be a group and $H \subseteq G$. Then $N(H)$ is a subgroup of G and $H \triangleleft N(H)$.

Definition Let G be a group and $a \in G$. The **center** of a is

$$Z(G) = \{x \in G : \forall a \in G, xa = ax\}$$

i.e. $Z(G)$ is the set of all elements of G that commute with every element of G .

Theorem $Z(G) \triangleleft G$

The Class Equation

Theorem (Conjugacy Class Size) Let G be a finite group and $a \in G$. The number of elements in the conjugacy class $[a]$ is $[G : C(a)]$.

Remark Here $[a]$ is the equivalence class of a with respect to \sim_G .

Definition The **class equation** of a finite group G is

$$|G| = [G : C(a_1)] + [G : C(a_2)] + \cdots + [G : C(a_r)]$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t are representatives of the distinct conjugacy classes of G with respect to \sim_G .

Remark The class equation follows immediately from the conjugacy class size theorem and the fact that

$$|G| = |C_1| + |C_2| + \dots + |C_t|$$

where C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t are the distinct conjugacy classes of G with respect to \sim_G .

Theorem Let G be a group and $a \in G$. The conjugacy class of a is $\{a\}$ if and only if $a \in Z(G)$.

Remark The class equation can also be written as

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + |C_1| + |C_2| + \dots + |C_t|$$

where C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t are the distinct conjugacy classes of G with respect to \sim_G having more than one element.

Example Here is the multiplication table for S_3

\cdot	e	(12)	(13)	(23)	(123)	(132)
e	e	(12)	(13)	(23)	(123)	(132)
(12)	(12)	e	(132)	(123)	(23)	(13)
(13)	(13)	(123)	e	(132)	(12)	(23)
(23)	(23)	(132)	(123)	e	(13)	(12)
(123)	(123)	(13)	(23)	(12)	(132)	e
(132)	(132)	(23)	(12)	(13)	e	(123)

What are the centralizers and conjugacy classes?

Section 8.5 - Classification

Remark We have seen that Abelian and simple finite groups have been classified. Let's turn our attention to groups of order less than 100.

Fact: There is only one group of order one, $\{e\}$.

Conclusion: Classifies order 1.

Scoreboard: 1/100

Theorem (7.28) If $|G| = p$ and p is prime then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Conclusion: Classifies orders 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 73, 79, 83, 89, 97.

Scoreboard: 26/100

Corollary (8.18) If $|G| = pq$ and p, q are prime, $p > q$, and $q \nmid p-1$ then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}.$$

Conclusion: Classifies orders 15, 33, 35, 51, 65, 69, 77, 85, 87, 91, 95.

Scoreboard: 37/100

Theorem If $|G| = p^n$, p prime, and $n > 1$ then $|Z(G)| > 1$, i.e. $|Z(G)| = p^k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Corollary If p is prime and $n > 1$ then there is no simple group of order p^n .

Corollary If $|G| = p^2$ and p is prime then G is abelian (and therefore isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} or $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$)

Conclusion: Classifies orders 4, 9, 25, 49.

Scoreboard: 41/100

Theorem If $|G| = p^2q$, where p, q are distinct primes such that $p^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ then G is abelian (and therefore $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q$)

Conclusion: Classifies orders 45, 99.

Scoreboard: 43/100

Corollary If p, q are distinct primes there is no simple group of order p^2q .

Theorem If $|G| = 2p$ where p is an odd prime, then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2p} \text{ or } G \cong D_p$$

Conclusion: Classifies orders 6, 10, 14, 22, 26, 34, 38, 46, 58, 62, 74, 82, 86, 94.

Scoreboard: 57/100

Definition Let $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $I = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
and $Q = \{e, -e, I, -I, J, -J, K, -K\} \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem Q with matrix multiplication is a group.

Definition Q is called the *quaternion group*.

Theorem If $|G| = 8$ then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}_8$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$D_4$$

$$Q$$

Conclusion: Classifies order 8.

Scoreboard: 58/100

Not bad!

Section 9.1 - Euclidean Domains

Memory Lane...

Definition A ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is an *integral domain* $\Leftrightarrow (R, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with identity $1_R \neq 0_R$ and $\forall a, b \in R, ab = 0_R \Rightarrow a = 0_R$ or $b = 0_R$.

Definition Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and $a \in R$. Then a is called a *zero divisor* of R if and only if

$$a \neq 0 \text{ and } \exists b \in R, b \neq 0_R \text{ and } (ab = 0_R \text{ or } ba = 0_R).$$

Definition Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring with identity and $a \in R$. If a has a multiplicative inverse then we say a is a *unit* in R .

Definition Let R be a commutative ring with identity and $a, b \in R$. We say a is an *associate* of b in $R \Leftrightarrow a = bu$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. If a is an associate of b we write $a \diamond b$.

Definition Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and $a, b \in R$. We say a *divides* b and write $a \mid b \Leftrightarrow a \neq 0$ and $ax = b$ for some $x \in R$.

Definition Let R be a commutative ring with identity and $p \in R$. Then p is **irreducible** if and only if p is not a unit and the only divisors of p are units and associates of p .

Lemma \diamond is an equivalence relation.

Theorem Let R be an integral domain and $p \in R - \{0\}$. Then p is irreducible $\Leftrightarrow \forall r, s \in R, p = rs \Rightarrow r$ is a unit or s is a unit.

Euclidean Domains

Definition An integral domain R is a **Euclidean domain** if and only if there exists a function $\delta : R - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

1. $\forall a, b \in R - \{0\}, \delta(a) \leq \delta(ab)$
2. $\forall a, b \in R, b \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists q, r \in R, a = bq + r$ and $(r = 0_R \text{ or } \delta(r) < \delta(b))$.

Theorem (Killer Death Nice Important) Let R be a Euclidean domain and $u \in R - \{0\}$. T.F.A.E.

1. u is a unit
2. $\delta(u) = \delta(1_R)$
3. $\delta(c) = \delta(uc)$ for some $c \in R - \{0\}$.

Section 9.2 - Principal Ideal Domains

Memory Lane...

Definition Let R be a ring and $I \subseteq R$.

- I is an **ideal** \Leftrightarrow
- (1) I is a subring of R
 - (2) $\forall r \in R, \forall a \in I, ra \in I$ and $ar \in I$

Definition An ideal I of a commutative ring with identity R is a **principal ideal** if and only if

$$\exists a \in R, I = (a)$$

where $(a) = \{ra : r \in R\}$.

PID's

Definition A **principal ideal domain (PID)** is an integral domain in which every

ideal is principal.

Theorem Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Divisibility vs Principal Ideals

Theorem Let R be an integral domain and $a, b \in R$.

1. $(a) \subseteq (b) \Leftrightarrow b \mid a$
2. $(a) = (b) \Leftrightarrow a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$
3. $(a) \subsetneq (b) \Leftrightarrow b \mid a$ and $\sim(b \diamond a)$

Ascending Chain Condition

Definition An integral domain R satisfies the **ascending chain condition (ACC)** if and only if for any collection of principal ideals satisfying

$$(a_1) \subseteq (a_2) \subseteq (a_3) \subseteq \dots$$

there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a_i) = (a_n)$ for all $i \geq n$.

Theorem Every PID satisfies the ACC.

Unique Factorization Domains

Definition An integral domain is a **unique factorization domain (UFD)** if and only if every nonzero nonunit element of R is the product of irreducibles and this factorization is unique up to the ordering of the terms and replacement of factors with one of their associates, i.e. it is a UFD iff

$$\forall a \in R - (\{0\} \cup \mathcal{U}(R)), a = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$$

for some irreducibles $p_1, \dots, p_r \in R$, and if

$$a = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$$

for some irreducibles $q_1, \dots, q_s \in R$ then $s = r$ and

$$\exists \sigma \in S_r, \forall i \in \mathbb{I}_r, p_{\sigma(i)} \diamond q_i.$$

Theorem Every PID is a UFD.

Theorem Every UFD satisfies the ACC.

Theorem Let R be a UFD, $p \in R$ irreducible, $b, c \in R$.

$$p \mid bc \Rightarrow p \mid b \text{ or } p \mid c$$

Theorem An integral domain R is a UFD if and only if

1. it satisfies the ACC
2. $\forall p, b, c \in R$, p irreducible and $p \mid bc \Rightarrow p \mid b$ or $p \mid c$

Section 9.3 - Quadratic Integers

Definition An integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ is **square free** if and only if

$$d \neq 1 \text{ and } \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}, c^2 \mid d \Rightarrow c = \pm 1$$

Remark From now on we shall always assume that d is a square free integer when discussing $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$.

Definition Let $N : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$N(s + t\sqrt{d}) = s^2 - dt^2$$

N is called the **norm**.

Theorem Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$. Then

1. $N(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$
2. $N(ab) = N(a)N(b)$

Theorem (Killer Death Nice Important II) Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$. Then

$$u \text{ is a unit } \Leftrightarrow N(u) = \pm 1$$

Theorem Let d be square free.

1. If $d > 1$ then $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ has infinitely many units.
2. If $d = -1$ then $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ has only $\pm 1, \pm i$ as units.
3. If $d < -1$ then $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ has only ± 1 as units.

Theorem Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$. If $N(p)$ is prime then p is irreducible.

Theorem Every nonzero nonunit element of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ is a product of irreducibles.

Section 9.4 - The Field of Quotients

Definition Let R be an integral domain and define a relation \sim on $R \times (R - \{0\})$ by

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \Leftrightarrow ad = bc \text{ in } R$$

Theorem \sim is an equivalence relation.

Notation $[a, b]$ is an abbreviation for $[(a, b)]$.

Definition Let R be an integral domain. The **field of quotients** of R (or **field of fractions**) is the set

$$F_R = R \times (R - \{0\}) / \sim$$

with addition and multiplication defined by

$$[a, b] \oplus [c, d] = [ad + bc, bd]$$

$$[a, b] \odot [c, d] = [ac, bd]$$

Notation We will usually use $+$, for \oplus and \cdot or concatenation for \odot just like in any other ring.

Theorem The field of quotients of an integral domain is a field.

Properties of the Field of Quotients

Theorem Let F_R be the field of quotients of an integral domain R and let $a, b, c, k \in R$ and $b \neq 0_R$. Then

1. $0_{F_R} = [0_R, b]$
2. $[a, b] = [ak, bk]$
3. $1_{F_R} = [b, b] = [1_R, 1_R]$
4. $[a, b]^{-1} = [b, a]$ if $a \neq 0_R$
5. $-[a, b] = [-a, b]$

Definition Let F_R be the field of quotients of an integral domain R . Define

$$R^* = \{[a, 1_R] : a \in R\}$$

Theorem Let F_R be the field of quotients of an integral domain R . Then R^* is a

subring of F_R which is isomorphic to R .

Theorem F_R is the smallest field containing R , i.e. if K is any field with $R \subseteq K$ (or containing a subring that is isomorphic to R), then there is a subfield $E \subseteq K$ such that $R \subseteq E$ (or E contains a subring isomorphic to R) and $E \cong F_R$.

Fractions!!!

Definition Let R be an integral domain, F_R its field of quotients, and $a, b \in R, b \neq 0$. Then we define

$$\frac{a}{b} = [a, b]$$

Yeeehaaa!!!

Section 9.5 - R a UFD $\Rightarrow R[x]$ is a UFD

Theorem (IXDOTVE) If R is a UFD then so is $R[x]$

Corollary $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a UFD but not a PID

Corollary $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is not a Euclidean domain

The proof... in stages

Definition Let R be a UFD. Then $f \in R[x]$ is **primitive** if and only if $\forall c \in R, c \mid f \Rightarrow c \in \mathcal{U}(R)$

Lemma Let R be a UFD, $f \in R[x]$, and $\deg(f) \geq 1$.
 f is irreducible $\Rightarrow f$ is primitive

Lemma Let R be a UFD, $f \in R[x] - \{0\}$.
 $\exists c \in R, \exists g \in R[x], f = cg$ and g is primitive

Lemma Let R be a UFD, $f \in R[x] - \{0\}$, and f not a unit. Then f is a product of irreducibles.

Lemma Let R be a UFD and $g, h \in R[x]$. If $p \in R$ is irreducible and $p \mid gh$ then $p \mid g$ or $p \mid h$.

Corollary (Gauss's Lemma) Let R be a UFD. The product of primitives in $R[x]$ is primitive.

Lemma Let R be a UFD, $r, s \in R - \{0\}$, $f, g \in R[x]$ primitive, and $rf = sg$. Then $r \diamond s$ and $f \diamond g$.

Corollary Let R be a UFD, F_R its field of quotients, $f, g \in R[x]$ primitives.
 $f \diamond g$ in $F_R[x] \Rightarrow f \diamond g$ in $R[x]$

Corollary Let R be a UFD, F_R its field of quotients, and $f \in R[x]$. If $\deg(f) > 0$ and f is irreducible in $R[x]$ then f is irreducible in $F_R[x]$.

Section 10.1 - Vector Spaces

Definition A **vector space** is a tuple $(V, +, F, *, \oplus, \cdot)$ where

1. $(V, +)$ is an abelian group
2. $(F, *, \oplus)$ is a field
3. $\cdot : F \times V \rightarrow V$ and $\forall a, a_1, a_2 \in F, \forall v, v_1, v_2 \in V$
 - (i) $a \cdot (v_1 + v_2) = (a \cdot v_1) + (a \cdot v_2)$
 - (ii) $(a_1 \oplus a_2) \cdot v = (a_1 \cdot v) + (a_2 \cdot v)$
 - (iii) $a_1 \cdot (a_2 \cdot v) = (a_1 * a_2) \cdot v$
 - (iv) $1_F \cdot v = v$

Remark In this situation we say “ V is an F -vector space” or “ V is a vector space over F ”. As usual, we also write $+$ for both \oplus and $+$ and we use juxtaposition for both $*$ and \cdot . Let's be somewhat careful about 0_F vs 0_V however.

Memory Lane...

Definition Let V be an F -vector space and $v_1, \dots, v_n, w \in V$. We say w is an **F -linear combination** of v_1, \dots, v_n if and only if

$$w = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_n v_n$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$.

Definition Let V be an F -vector space and $S \subseteq V$. If every element of V can be written as a linear combination of finitely many elements of S we say S **spans** V .

Definition Let V be an F -vector space and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$. We say that v_1, \dots, v_n are **F -linearly independent** if and only if $\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0_V \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_n = 0_F$$

In this situation we also say that the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq V$ is also linearly independent over F .

Definition Let V be an F -vector space and $B \subseteq V$. We say B is a **basis for V over F** if and only if B spans V and every finite subset of B is linearly independent.

Theorem Let V be an F -vector space which has a finite basis. Any two bases of V have the same number of elements.

Definition If a vector space V has a finite basis over F then we say that V is a **finite dimensional** vector space over F . The **dimension** of V over F is the number of elements in any basis and is denoted

$$[V : F]$$

If V does not have a finite basis over F then we say that V is **infinite dimensional** and write $[V : F] = \infty$.

Theorem Let F, K, L be fields with $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$. If $[K : F]$ and $[L : K]$ are finite then

$$[L : F] = [L : K][K : F]$$

Theorem Let F, K, L be fields with $F \subseteq K$ and $F \subseteq L$. Let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be an isomorphism such that $\forall c \in F, f(c) = c$. Then

$$[K : F] = [L : F]$$

Section 10.2 - Simple Extensions

Definition Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields and $u \in K$.

$$F(u) = \bigcap_{J \in I} J$$

where $I = \{J : F \subseteq J \subseteq K \text{ and } J \text{ is a field and } u \in J\}$, i.e. $F(u)$ is the intersection of all subfields of K that contain F and u . $F(u)$ is called a **simple extension** of F .

Theorem Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields and $u \in K$. Then $F(u)$ is a field.

Algebraic vs Transcendental

Definition Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields and $u \in K$. We say u is **algebraic over F** if and only if u is a root of a nonzero polynomial in $F[x]$. If u is not algebraic over F we say u is **transcendental over F** .

Minimal Polynomials

Theorem Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields and $u \in K$ algebraic over F . Then there exists a unique monic irreducible polynomial $p \in F[x]$ such that u is a root of p . Furthermore, for all $g \in F[x]$, u is a root of $g \Leftrightarrow p \mid g$.

Definition Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields and $u \in K$ algebraic over F . The unique monic irreducible polynomial in $F[x]$ having u as a root is called the **minimal polynomial of u over F** .

Theorem Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields, $u \in K$ algebraic over F , $p \in F[x]$ the minimal polynomial of u , and $n = \deg(p)$.

1. $F(u) \cong F[x]/(p)$
2. $\{1_F, u, u^2, \dots, u^{n-1}\}$ is a basis for $F(u)$ as a vector space over F .
3. $[F(u) : F] = n$

Extending isomorphisms

Definition Let F, E be fields and $\sigma : F \rightarrow E$ an isomorphism. Define $\Phi : F[x] \rightarrow E[x]$ by

$$\Phi(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) = \sigma(a_0) + \sigma(a_1)x + \dots + \sigma(a_n)x^n$$

The map Φ is called the **extension of σ to $F[x]$** .

Lemma Let F, E be fields and $\sigma : F \rightarrow E$ an isomorphism and $i_F : F \rightarrow F[x]$ and $i_E : E \rightarrow E[x]$ the inclusion maps. Then the extension of σ to $F[x]$ is an isomorphism and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & E \\ i_F \downarrow & & \downarrow i_E \\ F[x] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E[x] \end{array}$$

commutes.

Corollary Let E, F be fields, $\sigma : F \rightarrow E$ an isomorphism, u algebraic over F with minimum polynomial $p \in F[x]$, and v algebraic over E with minimal polynomial $\Phi(p)$. There exists an extension isomorphism $\bar{\sigma} : F(u) \rightarrow F(v)$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(u) = v$ and $\bar{\sigma}(c) = \sigma(c)$ for all $c \in F$.

Corollary If u, v have the same minimal polynomial over F then $F(u) \cong F(v)$.

Eisenstein's Irreducibility Theorem

Theorem (Eisenstein's Irreducibility Theorem) Let R be an integral domain and

$$f = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in R[x]$$

where $a_n \neq 0_R$. If there is an irreducible $p \in R$ such that p divides each of a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} and $p \nmid a_n$ and $p^2 \nmid a_0$ then f is irreducible in $F_R[x]$.

Section 10.3 - Algebraic Extensions

Definition Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields. K is an **algebraic extension** of F if every element of K is algebraic over F .

Theorem (Finite Dim \Rightarrow Algebraic) If K is a finite dimensional extension field of F then K is an algebraic extension of F .

Finitely Generated Extensions

Definition Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in K$. Define

$$F(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \bigcap_{J \in I} J$$

where $I = \{J : J \text{ is a field and } F \subseteq J \subseteq K \text{ and } u_1, \dots, u_n \in J\}$, i.e. $F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is the smallest subfield of K that contains F and u_1, \dots, u_n .

$F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is called the **extension of F generated** by u_1, \dots, u_n and we say it is a **finitely generated** extension.

Remark In the next three theorems let $F \subseteq K$ be fields, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in K$.

Theorem $F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is a field.

Theorem (Finite Dim \Rightarrow Finite Gen) If K is a finite dimensional extension field of F

then K is finitely generated.

Theorem $F(u_1, \dots, u_n) = F(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})(u_n)$

Remark Thus we can “build” a finitely generated extension by a sequence of simple extensions.

Theorem (Algebraic & Finite Gen \Rightarrow Finite Dim) Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in K$ be algebraic over F . Then $F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is a finite dimensional algebraic extension of F .

The Field of Algebraic Numbers

Theorem Let K be an extension field of F and

$$E = \{x \in K : x \text{ is algebraic over } F\}$$

Then E is an algebraic extension of F .

Definition The **field of algebraic numbers** is the extension field of \mathbb{Q} consisting of all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that z is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} .

Section 10.4 - Splitting Fields

Definition Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields and $f \in F[x]$ a nonconstant polynomial. The K is a **splitting field** of f over F if and only if

1. $f = c(x - u_1)(x - u_2) \cdots (x - u_n)$ in $K[x]$ (i.e. it splits in $K[x]$)
2. $K = F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$

i.e. K is a smallest field containing all of the roots of f .

Theorem (Splitting Fields Exist) Let F be a field and $f \in F[x]$ polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$. There exists a splitting field K of f over F with

$$[K : F] \leq n!$$

Theorem Let $\sigma : F \rightarrow E$ be a field isomorphism, $f \in F[x]$ nonconstant, and σf the corresponding polynomial in $E[x]$. If K is a splitting field of f over F and L is a splitting field of σf over E then σ extends to an isomorphism $K \cong L$.

Corollary (Splitting Fields are “Unique”) Any two splitting fields of $f \in F[x]$

over a field F are isomorphic.

Normal Extensions

Definition An algebraic extension field K of a field F is **normal** if and only if for all irreducible polynomials $p \in F[x]$, if p has a root in K then p splits in K (i.e. its normal iff whenever an irreducible polynomial has one root, it has them all)

Theorem A field K is a splitting field over a field F of some polynomial in $F[x]$ if and only if K is a finite dimensional normal extension of F .

Algebraic Closure

Definition A field F is **algebraically closed** if and only if every nonconstant polynomial $f \in F[x]$ splits in $F[x]$, i.e. iff the only irreducible polynomials are of degree 1. The **algebraic closure** of a field F is an algebraic extension field K of F which is algebraically closed.

Theorem Every field has an algebraic closure.

Section 10.5 - Separable Extensions

Definition Let F be a field, $f \in F[x]$, $\deg(f) = n$, K a splitting field of f over F and $f = c(x - u_1)(x - u_2) \cdots (x - u_n)$ where $c \in F$ and $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in K$. If $u_i = u_j$ for some $i \neq j$ then we say u_i is a **repeated root**. If f has no repeated roots, we say f is **separable**.

Definition Let K be any extension field of a field F and $u \in K$. We say u is **separable** over F if and only if

1. u is algebraic over F and
2. the minimal polynomial of u is separable

We say K is **separable** over F (or a **separable extension** of F) if and only if every element of K is separable over F .

Definition Let F be a field and $f = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n \in F[x]$. The **algebraic derivative** of f is

$$f' = a_1 + 2a_2x + 3a_3x^2 + \cdots + na_nx^{n-1}$$

Remark In the previous definition, the numerical coefficients $2, 3, \dots$ etc are additive notation for power in a group, i.e. $3a_3$ means $a_3 + a_3 + a_3$. (Equivalently we can consider the 3 to be $1_F + 1_F + 1_F$ in F)

Theorem Let F be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$. Then

$$(f + g)' = f' + g'$$

$$(fg)' = fg' + gf'$$

Lemma Let F be a field and $f \in F[x]$. If $\gcd(f, f') = 1_{F[x]}$ then f is separable.

Definition Let F be a field. F has **characteristic 0** if and only if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+, n1_F \neq 0_F$.

Theorem (Alg & Char 0 \Rightarrow separable) If F has characteristic 0 then every irreducible polynomial in $F[x]$ is separable and every algebraic extension of F is separable.

Theorem (Fin Gen & Separable \Rightarrow Simple) Every finitely generated separable extension of a field simple.

Section 10.6 - Classification of Finite Fields

Definition Let R be a ring with identity. We say R has **characteristic 0** if $m1_R \neq 0_R$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We say R has **characteristic n** if $n1_R = 0_R$ and $m1_R \neq 0_R$ for any $1 \leq m < n$. We denote the characteristic of R by $\text{char}(R)$.

Lemma If R is an integral domain then $\text{char}(R) = 0$ or $\text{char}(R)$ is a positive prime integer.

Lemma If $\text{char}(R) = n > 0$ then

$$k1_R = 0_R \Leftrightarrow n \mid k$$

The Prime Subfield

Theorem Let R be a ring with identity. Then

1. $P = \{k1_R : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a subring of R
2. $\text{char}(R) = 0 \Rightarrow P \cong \mathbb{Z}$

$$3. \text{char}(R) = n > 0 \Rightarrow P \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$$

Corollary If $\text{char}(R) = 0$ then R is infinite.

Corollary Every finite field has characteristic p for some prime p .

Definition Let K be a finite field of characteristic p . The subfield P in the previous theorem is called the **prime subfield** of K .

Remark Every finite field contains a subfield isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p , i.e. it is an extension field of \mathbb{Z}_p .

The order of finite fields

Definition The number of elements in a finite field is called its **order**.

Theorem Every finite field K has order p^n where $p = \text{char}(K)$ and $n = [K : \mathbb{Z}_p]$.

Classification of all Finite Fields

Lemma (The Freshman's Dream) Let p be a prime and R a commutative ring with identity and $\text{char}(R) = p$. Then $\forall a, b \in R, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$(a + b)^{p^n} = a^{p^n} + b^{p^n}$$

Theorem (Classification of Finite Fields) Let K be an extension field of \mathbb{Z}_p and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

$$|K| = p^n \Leftrightarrow K \text{ is a splitting of } x^{p^n} - x \text{ over } \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Corollary For each positive prime p and each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists a field of order p^n .

Corollary Any two finite fields of the same order are isomorphic.

Definition Let p be a positive prime and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. The unique field of order p^n is called the **Galois field** of order p^n and is denoted \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

The Simplicity of Finite Field Extensions

Theorem Let K be a finite field and F a subfield. Then K is a simple extension of F .

Corollary Let p be a prime. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists an irreducible polynomial of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

Section 11.1 - The Galois Group

Solving Polynomial Equations

Q: Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. When can we solve $\bar{f}(x) = 0$, i.e. when can we find the roots of f ?

Degree 0

A: If $f = a \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ then there are no roots of f .

Degree 1

A: If $f = ax + b$ and $a \neq 0$ then the root of f is $-b/a$.

Degree 2 (the quadratic formula)

A: If $f = ax^2 + bx + c$ and $a \neq 0$ then the roots of f are

$$\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

Degree 3 (the cubic formula)

A: If $f = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$ and $a \neq 0$, define $p = b/a$, $q = c/a$, and $r = d/a$ so that the roots of f are the same as the roots of $x^3 + px^2 + qx + r$. Define

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{3}(3q - p^2)$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{27}(2p^3 - 9pq + 27r)$$

$$A = \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\beta}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha^3}{27}}}$$

$$B = \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\beta}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha^3}{27}}}$$

Then the roots of f are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A + B - \frac{p}{3} \\
 & - \frac{A+B}{2} - \frac{p}{3} + \frac{A-B}{2} \sqrt{-3} \\
 & - \frac{A+B}{2} - \frac{p}{3} - \frac{A-B}{2} \sqrt{-3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Degree 4 (the quartic formula)

A: If $f = a_4x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$ and $a_4 \neq 0$, define $a = a_3/a_4$, $b = a_2/a_4$, $c = a_1/a_4$, and $d = a_0/a_4$, so that the roots of f are the same as the roots of $x^4 + ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$.

Let y be any root of $x^3 - bx^2 + (ac - 4d)x + (4bd - a^2d - c^2)$ and define

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} - b + y}$$

If $R = 0$ define

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{3a^2}{4} - 2b + 2\sqrt{y^2 - 4d}}$$

$$E = \sqrt{\frac{3a^2}{4} - 2b - 2\sqrt{y^2 - 4d}}$$

If $R \neq 0$ define

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{3a^2}{4} - R^2 - 2b + \frac{4ab - 8c - a^3}{4R}}$$

$$E = \sqrt{\frac{3a^2}{4} - R^2 - 2b - \frac{4ab - 8c - a^3}{4R}}$$

Then the roots of f are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{a}{4} + \frac{R}{2} \pm \frac{D}{2} \\
 & -\frac{a}{4} - \frac{R}{2} \pm \frac{E}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Degree 5 ???

Galois Theory

The Galois Group

Definition Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields. A map $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ is an F -automorphism iff

1. σ is a field isomorphism
2. $\forall x \in F, \sigma(x) = x$

Definition The set of all F -automorphisms of K over F is called the **Galois group** of K over F and is denoted $\text{Gal}_F(K)$

Theorem $(Gal_F(K), \circ)$ is a group.

The Action of the Galois Group on Roots

Theorem Let K be an extension field of F and $f \in F[x]$. If $u \in K$ is a root of f and $\sigma \in Gal_F(K)$ then $\sigma(u)$ is also a root of f .

Theorem Let K be the splitting field of $f \in F[x]$ and $u, v \in K$. Then $\sigma(u) = v$ for some $\sigma \in Gal_F(K)$ if and only if u, v have the same minimal polynomial.

Theorem Let $K = F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be an algebraic extension of F and $\sigma, \tau \in Gal_F(K)$. If $\sigma(u_i) = \tau(u_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ then $\sigma = \tau$.

Corollary If K is the splitting field of a separable polynomial $f \in F[x]$ and $\deg(f) = n$ then $Gal_F(K)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_n .

Intermediate Fields

Definition Let $F \subseteq E \subseteq K$ be fields. E is called **an intermediate field** of the extension $F \subseteq K$.

Theorem If $F \subseteq E \subseteq K$ are fields then $Gal_E(K) \subseteq Gal_F(K)$.

Theorem Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields and $H \subseteq Gal_F(K)$. Define

$$E_H = \{\alpha \in K : \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for every } \sigma \in H\}$$

Then E_H is an intermediate field of the extension, i.e. $F \subseteq E_H \subseteq K$.

Definition In the previous theorem, E_H is called the **fixed field** of the subgroup H .

Section 11.2 - The Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory

The Galois Correspondence

Definition Let $F \subseteq E \subseteq K$ fields and $[K : F]$ finite. Define

$$\theta(E) = Gal_E(K)$$

Then θ is called the **Galois correspondence** between the intermediate fields of the extension $F \subseteq K$ and the subgroups of $Gal_F(K)$.

Notation $\begin{matrix} K \\ n \uparrow \\ F \end{matrix}$ means K is an extension field of F and $[K : F] = n$.

$\begin{matrix} H \\ n \downarrow \\ G \end{matrix}$ means H is a subgroup of G and $[G : H] = n$.

Q: Is θ surjective? injective? Does it have an inverse?

Surjective

Theorem Let K be a finite dimensional extension field of F and H a subgroup of $\text{Gal}_F(K)$. Then $\theta(E_H) = H$ and $[K : E_H] = |H|$.

Corollary θ is surjective.

Injective

Definition We say K is a **Galois extension** of F (or **Galois over F**) iff K is a finite dimensional normal separable extension field of F .

Theorem Let K be a finite dimensional extension field of F and H a subgroup of $\text{Gal}_F(K)$. Then K is Galois over E_H and K is a simple extension of E_H .

Theorem If K is a Galois extension of F and $F \subseteq E \subseteq K$ then $E = E_{\text{Gal}_E(K)}$.

Corollary θ is injective for Galois extensions.

Corollary Let K be a finite dimensional extension field of F .
 K is Galois over $F \Leftrightarrow F = E_{\text{Gal}_F(K)}$

The Fundamental Theorem

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory) Let K be Galois over F .
 Define

$$S = \{E : F \subseteq E \subseteq K\}$$

and

$$T = \{H : H \trianglelefteq Gal_F(K)\}$$

and $\theta : S \rightarrow T$ by $\theta(E) = Gal_E(K)$. Then

1. θ is a bijection
2. $[K : E] = |\theta(E)|$ and $[E : F] = [\theta(F) : \theta(E)]$
3. E is a normal extension of $F \Leftrightarrow \theta(E)$ is a normal subgroup of $\theta(F)$. In this situation $Gal_F(E) \cong Gal_F(K)/Gal_E(K)$.

(clip here).....

Galois cheet sheet

Types of Field Extensions	
finite dimensional	has a finite basis as a vector space
algebraic	every element is a root of a polynomial
finitely generated	the smallest extension containing finitely many additional elements
simple	finitely generated by one element
splitting field	the smallest extension in which a particular nonconstant polynomial splits
separable	algebraic and no minimal polynomial of an element has repeated roots
normal	every irreducible polynomial that has a root splits
Galois	finite dimensional, normal, and separable

Implications
simple \Rightarrow finitely generated
finite dimensional \Leftrightarrow algebraic & finitely generated
separable \Rightarrow algebraic
algebraic & characteristic 0 \Rightarrow separable
finitely generated & separable \Rightarrow simple
splitting field \Rightarrow finitely generated & algebraic & finite dimensional
splitting field \Leftrightarrow finite dimensional & normal
Galois \Rightarrow finite dim, normal, separable, algebraic, finitely gen, simple

(clip here).....