# A Chaotic Extension of the 3x + 1 Function to $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$

John A. Joseph

December 28, 1995

#### Abstract

We construct a non-trivial extension of the 3x + 1 function to the 2-adic integers adjoined with i (where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ). We show that our extension is non-trivial in that not only is it not the cross product of the original function with itself, but it is not even conjugate to the cross product via a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism (though they are topologically conjugate). We then prove that most of the interesting properties of the original function are preserved by the extension. Finally, we prove that the extension is chaotic.

#### 1 Introduction

The 3x + 1 problem is most elegantly expressed in terms of iteration of the function  $T: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  by

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{3n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The conjecture attributed to Collatz [Lag] is that for every positive integer  $n, T^{(k)}(n) = 1$  for some k.

The function T can be extended in a natural manner to the 2-adic integers,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and this extension has proven to be quite fruitful. In this paper, we further extend the domain of T to  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ , hoping to increase our understanding of the problem.

#### 2 Summary of Main Results

In this section we provide an overview of our main results. A more detailed discussion of the definitions, theorems, and proofs can be found in the remainder of the paper.

We construct an extension,  $\widetilde{T}$ , of the 3x+1 function,  $T: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  to the metric space  $(\mathbb{Z}_2[i], D)$ .

**Definition 1** Let  $\widetilde{T}: \mathbb{Z}_2[i] \to \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  by

$$\widetilde{T}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\frac{3\alpha+1}{2}} & \text{if } \alpha \in [0]\\ \frac{3\alpha+1}{2} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1]\\ \frac{3\alpha+1+i}{2} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1+i] \end{cases}$$

where [x] denotes the equivalence class of x in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]/2\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ .

Our main results separate naturally into roughly three areas.

First,  $\widetilde{T}$  is an extension of the original function and is non-trivial in the following sense:

#### Theorem A

- (a)  $\widetilde{T}|\mathbb{Z}_2 = T$
- (b)  $\widetilde{T}$  is not conjugate to  $T \times T$  via a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism.
- (c)  $\widetilde{T}$  is, however, topologically conjugate to  $T \times T$ .

Second, T preserves the salient qualities of the original function. In particular there is a "parity vector function",  $Q_{\infty}$ , for T which has been extremely important in understanding the nature of the problem. We show that  $Q_{\infty}$  can also be extended in an analogous manner. The original parity vector function and the extended parity vector function share several important properties (c.f. [Lag: Theorem B]). We simply state the results here, saving the details for later in the paper.

**Theorem B** The extended parity vector function  $\tilde{Q}_k$  is periodic with period  $2^k$ . In addition,  $\tilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is an isometric homeomorphism.

Finally, T and  $T \times T$  are both chaotic functions (in the sense of [Dev]) and thus it follows from part (c) of Theorem A that

Theorem C  $\tilde{T}$  is chaotic.

We have constructed  $\tilde{T}$  in the hope that by applying the tools of chaos, complex analysis, and algebraic number theory the theorems presented above might provide future researchers with further insight into the 3x+1 problem and others like it.

## 3 Background and Notation

In this section, we develop our notation and discuss the relevant background material. Jeffrey C. Lagarias has written an excellent exposition containing the history of the 3x+1 problem and a survey of the literature on the subject [Lag] and we use his notation whenever possible.

The sequence  $n, T(n), T^{(2)}(n), T^{(3)}(n), \ldots$  is called the *orbit* of n under T. Another way to state the 3x+1 conjecture is that for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the orbit of n under T enters the cycle  $2 \to 1 \to 2 \to 1 \to \ldots$ . Since T extends naturally to the ring of 2-adic integers,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , the statement of the 3x+1 problem is also valid on  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . For brevity, we shall often refer to a 2-adic integer as simply a "2-adic". Recall that an element, a, of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is just a formal power series of the form  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i 2^i$  where  $a_i \in \{0,1\}$ . As is common, we will often abbreviate this by writing the sequence of 0s and 1s  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots_2$ . Note that we add the subscript 2 (to distinguish from base 10) when writing 2-adics and use an overbar to denote a repeating pattern. Note that both  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the set of rationals with odd denominators are subrings of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and thus, for clarity, we will frequently write an integer or rational number in place of its 2-adic representation. For example,  $\overline{10}_2$  denotes the 2-adic  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{2i}$  associated with  $-\frac{1}{2}$ .

Define the parity vector of length k for T of a [Lag] to be the sequence given by the function  $Q_k : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2/2^k\mathbb{Z}_2$  by

$$Q_k(a) = x_0(a), x_1(a), \dots, x_{k-1}(a)$$

where

$$x_i(n) \equiv T^{(i)}(n) \mod 2$$

and

$$x_i(n) \in \{0, 1\}$$

for all  $i \geq 0$ . The parity vector,  $Q_k(a)$ , completely describes the behavior of the first k iterates of a under T.  $Q_{\infty}(a)$  is defined in a similar manner and completely describes all iterates of a under T.

 $Q_k$  and  $Q_{\infty}$  have several interesting properties:  $Q_k$  is periodic with period  $2^k$  and induces a permutation of  $\mathbb{Z}_2/2^k\mathbb{Z}_2$ , denoted  $\overline{Q}_k$ ;  $Q_{\infty}$  is a continuous bijection. The proofs of these properties of  $Q_k$  and  $Q_{\infty}$  may be found in [Lag]. Both have proven to be extremely useful in the study of the 3x+1 problem.

In this paper we extend T to the 2-adic integers adjoined with i,  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ . We choose to extend to  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  because many number theoretic problems in  $\mathbb{Z}$  have been solved by generalizing to the Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . In keeping with this theme, we shall refer to  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  as the set of Gaussian 2-adic integers or simply, the Gaussian 2-adics.

By freely associating  $a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  with  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  we can define the metric D on  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  to be the product metric on  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  induced by the usual metric on  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  which is derived from the 2-adic valuation. Addition and multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  are defined in the usual manner. It is important to note that  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  is a commutative ring with identity, but not a field. In addition,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a commutative subring of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  with identity and is also not a field.

## 4 Extension to $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$

Since T was piecewise defined depending on equivalence in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , our extension is piecewise defined depending on equivalence in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]/2\mathbb{Z}_2[i] = \{[0], [1], [i], [1+i]\}.$ 

**Definition 1** Let  $\widetilde{T} : \mathbb{Z}_2[i] \to \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  by

$$\widetilde{T}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{2} & \text{if } \alpha \in [0] \\ \frac{3\alpha+1}{2} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1] \\ \frac{3\alpha+i}{2} & \text{if } \alpha \in [i] \\ \frac{3\alpha+1+i}{2} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1+i]. \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $\widetilde{T}$  resembles  $T \times T$  to a great degree. It is then natural to ask how  $\widetilde{T}$  is different from T and  $T \times T$ ; after all, we claim that  $\widetilde{T}$  is a non-trivial extension of T. Our response may be surprising: not only is  $\widetilde{T}$  not equal to  $T \times T$ , but  $\widetilde{T}$  and  $T \times T$  are not even conjugate via a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism (though they are topologically conjugate, as we shall see in section 7.)

Notice that  $\widetilde{T}$  is an extension of the T, i.e.  $\widetilde{T}|\mathbb{Z}_2 = T$ . It is also clear that  $\widetilde{T}$  is not the trivial extension  $T \times T : \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , e.g.  $T \times T((1,2)) = (2,1)$  while  $\widetilde{T}(1+2i) = 2+3i$ .

What is more surprising, though, is that  $\widetilde{T}$  and  $T \times T$  are not conjugate via a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism. In order to show this, we must formalize our association between elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Define a continuous bijection  $B: \mathbb{Z}_2[i] \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  by B(a+bi) = (a,b). Let  $\widehat{T}: \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  by  $\widehat{T} = B \circ \widetilde{T} \circ B^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 1** There is no  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism  $A: \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that  $\widehat{T} = A^{-1} \circ T \times T \circ A$ .

*Proof.* Assume that such a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism A exists. Let  $e_1 = (1,0)$  and  $e_2 = (0,1)$ . Then  $Ae_1 = (x_1,y_1)$  and  $Ae_2 = (x_2,y_2)$  where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are 2-adics and  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then for all  $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $A \circ \widehat{T}((a,b)) = T \times T \circ A((a,b))$ . Let  $(a,b) \in (1,0)$ .

$$T \times T(A((a,b))) = A(\widehat{T}((a,b)))$$

$$\Rightarrow T \times T(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}) = A((\frac{3a+1}{2}, \frac{3b}{2}))$$

$$\Rightarrow T \times T((ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3a+1}{2} \\ \frac{3b}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow T \times T((ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2)) = (\frac{3ax_1 + 3bx_2 + x_1}{2}, \frac{3ay_1 + 3by_2 + y_1}{2})$$

Thus, we have  $T \times T((ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2)) = (\frac{3ax_1 + 3bx_2 + x_1}{2}, \frac{3ay_1 + 3by_2 + y_1}{2})$ . In order to evaluate  $T \times T((ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2))$ , we must determine the parities of  $ax_1 + bx_2$  and  $ay_1 + by_2$ . Because b is even and a is odd, the parities are completely determined by, and equivalent to, the parities of  $x_1$  and  $y_1$ . This yields the following four cases:

$$T \times T((ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2)) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{ax_1 + bx_2}{2}, \frac{ay_1 + by_2}{2}\right) & \text{if } x_1 \text{ even, } y_1 \text{ even} \\ \left(\frac{3ax_1 + 3bx_2 + 1}{2}, \frac{ay_1 + by_2}{2}\right) & \text{if } x_1 \text{ odd, } y_1 \text{ even} \\ \left(\frac{ax_1 + bx_2}{2}, \frac{3ay_1 + 3by_2 + 1}{2}\right) & \text{if } x_1 \text{ even, } y_1 \text{ odd} \\ \left(\frac{3ax_1 + 3bx_2 + 1}{2}, \frac{3ay_1 + 3by_2 + 1}{2}\right) & \text{if } x_1 \text{ odd, } y_1 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

From this it is easy to check that  $T \times T((ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2)) = (\frac{3ax_1 + 3bx_2 + x_1}{2}, \frac{3ay_1 + 3by_2 + y_1}{2})$  if and only if  $x_1 = 1$  and  $y_1 = 1$ . Thus, A must be of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Similarly, choosing  $(a,b) \in (0,1)$  implies  $x_2 = y_2 = 1$ .

This means  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , but  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not invertible, which contradicts our assumption.

QED

Since  $\widehat{T}$  is conjugate to  $\widetilde{T}$  via the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism B, we have:

Corollary 1  $\widetilde{T}$  is not conjugate to  $T \times T$  via a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism.

## 5 Extension of $Q_k$ and $Q_{\infty}$

One of our main reasons for extending to  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  was to add the tools associated with  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  to the current tools for studying the 3x + 1 problem. With this in mind, we redefine  $Q_k$  in terms of  $\widetilde{T}$ . Let  $\widetilde{Q}_k : \mathbb{Z}_2[i] \to \mathbb{Z}_2[i]/2^k\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  by

$$\widetilde{Q}_k(\alpha) = \widetilde{x}_0(\alpha), \widetilde{x}_1(\alpha), \widetilde{x}_2(\alpha), \dots \widetilde{x}_{k-1}(\alpha)$$

where

$$\widetilde{x}_i(\alpha) \equiv \widetilde{T}^{(i)}(\alpha) \mod 2 \text{ for all } i \geq 0$$

and

$$\widetilde{x}_i(\alpha) \in \{0, 1, i, 1+i\}$$

be the parity vector of length k for  $\widetilde{T}$  of  $\alpha$ .

As with  $Q_k$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_k$  completely describes the behavior of the first k iterates of  $\alpha$  under  $\tilde{T}$ .

We also define  $\tilde{Q}_{\infty}: \mathbb{Z}_2[i] \to \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  in a similar manner and note that, as you would expect,  $\tilde{Q}_{\infty}$  completely describes the behavior of all iterates of  $\alpha$  under  $\tilde{T}$ .

 $Q_k$  and  $Q_{\infty}$  have properties similar to  $Q_k$  and  $Q_{\infty}$  as will be demonstrated in the following theorems which mirror analogous theorems for  $Q_k$  and  $Q_{\infty}$  found in [Lag]:

**Theorem 2** The function  $\widetilde{Q}_k : \mathbb{Z}_2[i] \to \mathbb{Z}_2[i]/2^k\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  is periodic with period  $2^k$ .

In order to show that  $\tilde{Q}_k$  is periodic, we begin by showing:

**Lemma 1**  $\widetilde{T}^k(\alpha + \omega 2^k) \equiv \widetilde{T}^k(\alpha) + \omega \mod 2$ , for any  $\alpha, \omega \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ .

*Proof.* We will proceed by induction on k. Let  $\alpha, \omega \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ .

Base Case: (k = 1)

In this case,

$$\widetilde{T}(\alpha + \omega 2) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega \equiv \widetilde{T}(\alpha) + \omega \mod 2 & \text{if } \alpha \in [0] \\ \frac{3\alpha + 1}{2} + 3\omega \equiv \widetilde{T}(\alpha) + \omega \mod 2 & \text{if } \alpha \in [1] \\ \frac{3\alpha + i}{2} + 3\omega \equiv \widetilde{T}(\alpha) + \omega \mod 2 & \text{if } \alpha \in [i] \\ \frac{3\alpha + 1 + i}{2} + 3\omega \equiv \widetilde{T}(\alpha) + \omega \mod 2 & \text{if } \alpha \in [1 + i]. \end{cases}$$

General Case: Assume  $\tilde{T}^{k-1}(\alpha + \omega 2^{k-1}) \equiv \tilde{T}^{k-1}(\alpha) + \omega \mod 2$  for all n (inductive hypothesis).

Case 1:  $\alpha \in [0]$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{T}^k(\alpha+\omega 2^k) &= \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\widetilde{T}(\alpha+\omega 2^k)) \\ &= \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\frac{\alpha+\omega 2^k}{2}) \qquad \text{(since } \alpha \in [0]) \\ &= \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2}+\omega 2^{k-1}) \\ &\equiv \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2})+\omega \bmod 2 \qquad \text{(by ind hyp)} \\ &\equiv \widetilde{T}^k(\alpha)+\omega \bmod 2 \qquad \text{(since } \alpha \in [0]) \end{split}$$

Case 2:  $\alpha \in [1]$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{T}^k(\alpha+\omega 2^k) &= \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\widetilde{T}(\alpha+\omega 2^k)) \\ &= \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\frac{3(\alpha+\omega 2^k)+1}{2}) \qquad \text{(since } \alpha \in [1]) \\ &= \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\frac{3\alpha+1}{2}+\omega 2^k+\omega 2^{k-1}) \\ &\equiv \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\frac{3\alpha+1}{2}+\omega 2^k)+\omega \bmod 2 \qquad \text{(by ind hyp)} \\ &\equiv \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\frac{3\alpha+1}{2}+\omega 2^{k-1}) \bmod 2 \qquad \text{(by ind hyp)} \\ &\equiv \widetilde{T}^{k-1}(\frac{3\alpha+1}{2})+\omega \bmod 2 \qquad \text{(by ind hyp)} \\ &\equiv \widetilde{T}^k(\alpha)+\omega \bmod 2 \qquad \text{(since } \alpha \in [1]) \end{split}$$

Case 3  $(\alpha \in [i])$  and Case 4  $(\alpha \in [1+i])$  are very similar to this case. Therefore,  $\tilde{T}^k(\alpha + \omega 2^k) \equiv \tilde{T}^k(\alpha) + \omega \mod 2$  for all k by induction on k. QED

It follows easily that  $\tilde{x}_k$  is also periodic in the same sense.

Corollary 2 For every  $\alpha, \omega \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ ,  $\tilde{x}_j(\alpha + \omega 2^j) \equiv \tilde{x}_j(\alpha) + \omega \mod 2$  for all  $0 \le j \le \infty$ .

From this we obtain Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. We shall proceed using induction on k.

Base Case : (k = 1)

$$\widetilde{Q}_1(\alpha + 2\omega) = \widetilde{x}_0(\alpha + 2\omega)$$

$$= \widetilde{x}_0(\alpha) \quad \text{(by Corollary 2)}$$

$$= \widetilde{Q}_1(\alpha)$$

General Case: Assume  $\widetilde{Q}_{k-1}(\alpha + \omega 2^{k-1}) = \widetilde{Q}_{k-1}(\alpha)$  (inductive hypothesis).

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{Q}_k(\alpha+\omega 2^k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \widetilde{x}_j(\alpha+\omega 2^k) 2^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \widetilde{x}_j(\alpha+\omega 2^k) 2^j + \widetilde{x}_{k-1}(\alpha+\omega 2^k) 2^{k-1} \\ &= \widetilde{Q}_{k-1}(\alpha+\omega 2^k) + \widetilde{x}_{k-1}(\alpha) 2^{k-1} \quad \text{(by Corollary 2)} \\ &= \widetilde{Q}_{k-1}(\alpha) + \widetilde{x}_{k-1}(\alpha) 2^{k-1} \quad \text{(by ind hyp)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \widetilde{x}_j(\alpha) 2^j + \widetilde{x}_{k-1}(\alpha) 2^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \widetilde{x}_j(\alpha) 2^j \\ &= \widetilde{Q}_k(\alpha) \end{split}$$

QED

**Theorem 3**  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is an isometric homeomorphism.

*Proof.* We begin by showing that  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is one-to-one.

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Then there exists  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  such that  $\alpha = \beta + \omega 2^k$  where  $k = \min\{j : \alpha_j \neq \beta_j\}$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \beta = \beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots$ , and  $\omega$  is not equivalent to 0 mod 2. By Corollary 2,  $\tilde{x}_k(\alpha) \equiv \tilde{x}_k(\beta + \omega 2^k) \equiv \tilde{x}_k(\beta) + \omega \mod 2$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{x}_k(\alpha) - \tilde{x}_k(\beta) \equiv \omega \mod 2$ . Since  $\omega$  is not equivalent to 0 mod 2,  $\tilde{x}_k(\alpha) \neq \tilde{x}_k(\beta)$  and therefore by definition of  $\tilde{Q}_{\infty}$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_{\infty}(\alpha) \neq \tilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta)$ . Thus,  $\tilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is one-to-one.

Next we show that  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  preserves the metric (and is therefore continuous). Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  where  $\alpha = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \beta = \beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots$  Choose k so that  $D(\alpha, \beta) = 2^{-k}$ . Then  $\alpha \equiv \beta \mod 2^k$ . By Theorem 2,  $\widetilde{Q}_k(\alpha) = \widetilde{Q}_k(\beta)$ , so  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\alpha) \equiv \widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta) \mod 2^k$ . Thus,  $D(\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\alpha), \widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta)) \leq 2^{-k}$ . However, because  $\alpha$  is not equivalent to  $\beta \mod 2^{k+1}$ ,  $\alpha = \beta + \omega 2^k$  for some  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ , where  $\omega$  is not equivalent to 0 mod 2. Hence,  $\widetilde{x}_k(\alpha) = \widetilde{x}_k(\beta + \omega 2^k) \equiv \widetilde{x}_k(\beta) + \omega \mod 2$  by Corollary 2. However, because  $\omega$  is not equivalent to 0 mod 2,  $\widetilde{x}_k(\alpha) \neq \widetilde{x}_k(\beta)$ . It follows that  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\alpha)$  is not equivalent to  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta)$  mod  $2^{k+1}$ . Therefore,  $D(\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\alpha), \widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta)) = 2^{-k}$  and so  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  preserves the metric.

Finally, we show that  $\tilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is onto.

Let  $\alpha = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ ,  $\alpha'_k = \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k, \overline{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ , and  $\widehat{\alpha}_k \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]/2^k\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  such that  $\alpha \in \widehat{\alpha}_k$ . We first note that  $\widetilde{Q}_k$  is onto as can be seen by induction on k using Corollary 2. There exists a  $\beta'_k$  such that  $\widetilde{Q}_k(\beta'_k) = \widehat{\alpha}_k$ . Let  $\beta'_k = \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_k, \overline{0}$ . We can see that  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta'_k) = \alpha'_k \mod 2^k$ . Thus,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} D(\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta), \alpha'_k) = 0$ . Consequently,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta'_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha'_k = \alpha$ . Now, because  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is continuous,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta'_k) = \widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\lim_{k \to \infty} \beta'_k) = \alpha$ . So all that remains is to show that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \beta'_k$  exists as a Gaussian 2-adic. Since the sequence  $\{\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta'_k)\}$  converges to  $\alpha$  it is Cauchy. Because  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  preserves the metric, the sequence  $\{\beta'_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  is also a Cauchy sequence. Now,  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  is a compact metric space by the Tychonoff theorem, so every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  has a limit in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ . Thus the sequence  $\{\beta'_k\}$  converges to some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$  and  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}(\beta) = \alpha$ . Therefore  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is onto.

 $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}^{-1}$  is continuous because  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is an isometry and therefore  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is an isometric homeomorphism.

QED

Now that we have shown  $\tilde{Q}_{\infty}$  is a continuous bijection, we shall see just how powerful a tool it is in our exploration of the dynamics of  $\tilde{T}$ .

## 6 Chaos and the 3x + 1 Problem

Chaoticity in the sense of [Dev] is preserved by topological conjugacy, so we can show that a function is chaotic if it is topologically conjugate to a known chaotic map. Such a map is the shift map on the sequence space,  $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ ,

where

$$\Sigma = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots | s_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

and

$$\sigma(s_0, s_1, s_2, \ldots) = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$$

 $Q_{\infty}$  provides a conjugacy between T and  $\sigma$ . Thus we have shown:

**Theorem 4**  $T: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is chaotic.

Since the product of chaotic maps is itself a chaotic map, we have:

#### Corollary 3 $T \times T$ is chaotic

Certainly if T is chaotic, any reasonable extension of T should also be chaotic. We show that  $\widetilde{T}$  is chaotic by showing that it is conjugate to some known chaotic system. With this in mind we define  $\sigma_4:(\Sigma_4,d_\delta)\to(\Sigma_4,d_\delta)$  and show that  $\sigma_4$  and  $\sigma\times\sigma$  are conjugate via a homeomorphism, F.

Let  $\sigma_4:(\Sigma_4,d_{\delta})\to(\Sigma_4,d_{\delta})$  be the shift map on the sequence space with four elements  $\{0,1,i,1+i\}$  where

$$d_{\delta}((s_0, s_1, \ldots), (t_0, t_1, \ldots)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k(s, t)}{4^k}$$

and

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_k = t_k \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can easily be shown that  $\sigma_4:(\Sigma_4,d_\delta)\to(\Sigma_4,d_\delta)$  is chaotic.

**Lemma 2** The function  $F: \Sigma_4 \to \Sigma \times \Sigma$  by

$$F(s) = ((a_1(s), a_2(s), a_3(s), \ldots), (b_1(s), b_2(s), b_3(s), \ldots))$$

where each

$$a_i(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_i = 0 \text{ or } i \\ 1 & \text{if } s_i = 1 \text{ or } 1 + i \end{cases}$$

and each

$$b_i(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_i = 0 \text{ or } 1\\ 1 & \text{if } s_i = i \text{ or } 1 + i. \end{cases}$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that F is a bijection. We now show that F is continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta = 4^{-k}$  where k is chosen to make  $2^{-k} < \epsilon$ ,  $s = s_0, s_1, \ldots \in \Sigma_4$ ,  $t = t_0, t_1, \ldots \in \Sigma_4$ . If  $d_{\delta}(s,t) < \delta$  then  $s_j = t_j$  for all  $0 \le j \le k$ . Consequently, if we consider that F(s) = (x,y) and F(t) = (z,w) for some  $(x,y), (z,w) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma$  where  $x = x_0, x_1, \ldots \in \Sigma$ ,  $y = y_0, y_1, \ldots \in \Sigma$ ,  $z = z_0, z_1, \ldots \in \Sigma$ , and  $w = w_0, w_1, \ldots \in \Sigma$  then  $x_j = z_j$  and  $y_j = w_j$  for all  $0 \le j \le k$  by definition of  $d_{\delta}$ . Thus, by definition of F,  $d_x(F(s), F(t)) \le 2^{-k} < \epsilon$  where  $d_x$  is the product metric on  $\Sigma$ . So F is continuous.

By letting  $\epsilon > 0$  and choosing  $\delta = 2^{-k}$  where k is such that  $4^{-k} < \epsilon$ , we can apply a similar argument to show that  $F^{-1}$  is continuous. Therefore, F is a homeomorphism.

QED

It easily follows that:

Corollary 4  $\sigma_4$  and  $\sigma \times \sigma$  are conjugate via F.

Since  $\widetilde{T}$  is conjugate to  $\sigma_4$  via  $\widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$  we have:

Theorem 5  $\widetilde{T}$  is chaotic.

It turns out that in proving the chaoticity of T,  $\tilde{T}$ , and  $T \times T$  we have defined some very useful conjugacies as we shall see in the next section.

# 7 Relationship between $\widetilde{T}$ and $T \times T$

Though T and  $T \times T$  are not conjugate via a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module isomorphism, they are topologically conjugate. Since topological conjugacy is transitive,  $\widetilde{T} \cong \sigma_4 \cong \sigma \times \sigma \cong T \times T$  where  $\cong$  denotes topological conjugacy and thus:

**Theorem 6**  $\widetilde{T}$  and  $T \times T$  are topologically conjugate (via  $(Q_{\infty} \times Q_{\infty})^{-1} \circ F \circ \widetilde{Q}_{\infty}$ ).

These theorems allow us to work in the system of our choice and then convert the results to any other system using the homeomorphisms defined above.

#### 8 Acknowledgement

This paper was completed as a result of three years of work by the author as an undergraduate in the Faculty/Student Research Program at the University of Scranton under the direction of Dr. Kenneth Monks. I would like to dedicate this paper to Dr. Monks for all of his time, effort and assistance without which this paper could not have been completed.

#### References

[Lag] J. C. Lagarias, The 3x+1 Problem and Its Generalizations, American Mathematics Monthly 92 (1985), 3-23.

[Dev] R.L. Devaney A First Course in Chaotic Dynamical Systems: Theory and Experiment (Addison-Wesley Pub Co, 1992).

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003 E-mail address: joseph@math.umass.edu